



*Speaker Pro-Temp Bowen V. Chief-Justice Glasheen*  
(Plaintiff) (Defendant)

December 2, 2010

**SUMMARY**

This case was brought to Judicial Court to assess potential by-law violations that occurred during a past case, *Womack v. Bowen*.

**DECISION**

After assessing the accused by-law violations the Court has found some by-laws were not followed correctly. In particular a Judicial Advocate was not assigned to Mr. Bowen in accordance with the established by-laws. Mr. Bowen solicited help from Chief Justice Glasheen; this solicitation represents a conflict of interest as a Justice should never involve themselves with either party of any case, unless to help clarify Judicial Court procedures, in order to maintain propriety. Allowing Chief Justice Glasheen to help in the preparation of a case and then sit in on said case is not ethical and therefore this case did not follow correct procedural code. Other provisions in the by-laws were not publically available to Mr. Bowen in the past case and as a result Mr. Bowen was arguing a case using inaccurate by-laws. The other by-law accusations by Mr. Bowen were either erroneous or were interpreted incorrectly by Mr. Bowen

*Womack v. Bowen* therefore is declared a mistrial based on the procedural reasons above.

Therefore, the Court orders the case of *Womack v. Bowen* be remanded back to Judicial Court at a later time to be reheard, following proper procedures. The timing of this retrial will be determined by the original parties involved.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

In order to facilitate the public understanding and knowledge of Judicial Court internal procedures these procedures should be made publically available in a timely fashion.

In future cases those claiming to represent certain groups need to be careful to make sure they are the person who is qualified to claim this position and to make sure that

**all persons claimed to be represented are fully aware that they are being represented in order to avoid a case being thrown out for technicality reasons.**

Texas A&M University

Judicial  Court

Benjamin Love

George A. Weyler



Marionne Semanely

Renee McInnis

John H. Hill

In Regards to:

BOWEN V. GLASHEEN

12/2/10