



TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY
STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION
JUDICIAL COURT

Cameron Elston
(Plaintiff)

v. Commissioner Jackson
(Defendant)

Judicial Court Case #64-06

February 24, 2012

SUMMARY

Plaintiff brought suit against the Election Commission on grounds of unconstitutional disqualification based on GPR standards.

Decision

Part I. Disqualification

Plaintiff contends that the election regulations grade qualification components should fall under the new Constitution's requirements in Article VII, Section I, a. Which requires that all members of SGA have at least a 2.0 GPR and no higher additional requirements can be established.

Defendant contends that the election regulation grade qualification components should fall under the old Constitution's which does not prohibit individual by-laws from raising the minimum GPR requirement.

The plaintiff signed his declaration of candidacy form on February 8, 2012 in which the candidate agreed to abide by the current policies in place. This policy included a minimum 2.25 GPR established by the Senate by-laws. These current policies are in line with the old Constitution and as such were applicable at that time. Therefore, the election commission has made a correct ruling in disqualifying the defendant from the ballot.

If the candidate wins in a write-in capacity then the candidate is eligible to serve at that time.

...It is so ORDERED

Texas A&M University

Judicial  Court

Re: 64-06

Brian Rowe
CJ

Hannah Weger
Judge Gilbert Jr.

Kyle Klumpp

Signed on
2/24/12